press from the project to date, with more to come. Many of these focus on or refer to the cabbage seed weevil, using the specific name *Ceutorhynchus assimilis*. The publications are listed on the project website (http://www.rothamsted.bbsrc.ac.uk/pie/master/master.htm).

Results from the project MASTER have been widely disseminated at major international and national meetings during the course of the project and at the International Symposium ‘Integrated Pest Management in Oilseed Rape’ held at Göttingen, Germany, during 3–5 April 2006. The symposium was attended by 90 delegates from 15 European countries as well as from Canada, China and Israel. Many of the papers focussed on the cabbage seed weevil. Editorial policy was to use *Ceutorhynchus assimilis* as its specific name.

**Additional reference**


**Comment on the proposed fixation of the feminine gender of the genus *Trachys* Fabricius, 1801 (Insecta, Coleoptera) and the form of derivation of family-group names based on *Trachys***

(Case 3335; see BZN 63: 172–176)

Charles L. Bellamy, Senior Insect Biosystematist (Supervisor)

*Plant Pest Diagnostics Branch, California Department of Food & Agriculture, 3294 Meadowview Road, Sacramento, CA 95832–1448, U.S.A*

(e-mail: Cbellamy@cdfa.ca.gov)

The request to fix the gender of *Trachys* Fabricius, 1801 goes beyond simply according the assumed opinion of the original author permanence. In addition to *Trachys*, the following genus-group names in *Buprestidae* have the same ending: *Brachys* Dejean, 1833, *Enbrachys* Fisher, 1935, *Neotrichys* Obenberger, 1923, *Taphrocerus* (*Parabrachys*) Cobos, 1979 and *Paratrachys* Saunders, 1873. Additionally, the family-group name *Brachyina* Cobos, 1979 would have to be altered to be consistent if *Trachyini* was altered to *Trachydini*.

According to H. Don Cameron, Department of Classical Studies, University of Michigan, *Trachys* is a masculine stem adjective. The genitive case is *Trachyos*. The Code (Article 29) specifies that family names are formed by adding ‘idae’ to the stem of the type genus. Article 29.3.1 specifies that ‘the stem is found by deleting the case ending of the appropriate genitive singular’. The genitive singular is *Trachyos*, the case ending is -os, so the stem is *Trachy-* and the correct family name is *Trachyidae*. Thus *Trachyini* and *Trachyna* are the correct spellings for tribe and subtribe, respectively. The past uses of *Trachini* and *Trachydini* are incorrect.

I believe that if *Trachys* is fixed as feminine in gender and the spellings of the family-group names are altered in alignment with such a decision, we risk confusing current and future workers in *Buprestidae* or leave the fate of the other family- and
genus-group names in limbo. If these six genus-group names are technically masculine, fixation of that gender in the larger sense will assure consistency and clarity.

Thus, while I can appreciate the sentiments behind Case 3335, I feel that the consistency of a uniform, strictly technical, masculine definition for the entire group of generic names and the two derived family-group names is the best way to go.

Comments on the proposed conservation of the generic names *Gnorimus* Le Peletier de Saint-Fargeau & Serville, 1828 and *Osmoderma* Le Peletier de Saint-Fargeau & Serville, 1828 (Insecta, Coleoptera) (Case 3349; see BZN 63: 177–183)

(1) Robert E. Woodruff
Emeritus Taxonomist, Florida State Collection of Arthropods, P.O. Box 147100, Gainesville, Florida 32605, U.S.A
(e-mail: BobsGems@aol.com)

I would like to go on record as supporting the proposal by Krell, Ballerio & Smith to conserve the names *Gnorimus* and *Osmoderma* (Coleoptera, scarabaeidae). Resurrecting long forgotten or unused names is a great disservice to nomenclatural stability.

(2) Patrick Arnaud
22 Sentier des Chières, 91250, Saintry l Seine, France
(e-mail: PatricNeotrop@aol.com)

I agree with the proposed conservation of *Gnorimus* and *Osmoderma* rather than the revalidation of long-unused names.

Comment on the proposed conservation of the specific name of *Celaenorrhinus ratna* Fruhstorfer, 1908 (Insecta, Lepidoptera) (Case 3339; see BZN 63: 114–117, 201–202)

Alexey L. Devyatkin
Department of Entomology, Faculty of Biology, Moscow State University, 119992 Moscow, Russia (e-mail: ald@3.entomol.bio.msu.ru)

I can well understand my colleagues dealing with the Oriental hesperiidae who are not happy to use the never used and forgotten specific name *Celaenorrhinus kawakamii* (Matsumura, 1907) instead of the well-established name *C. ratna* Fruhstorfer, 1908. The species in question seems to be widespread in the Oriental Region and, although described from Taiwan, forms a number of subspecies, described within the species *ratna* on the continent. One of them, *C. ratna tytleri* Evans, 1926, was supposed (Devyatkin, 2000, p. 210) to be illustrated and listed in Osada et al. (1999, pl. 134, p. 221) from North Laos under the name *C. maculosus* (C. & R. Felder, [1867]) ssp. The species is therefore very likely to be found in Vietnam. While dealing