Topological Two-Channel Kondo Effect in Majorana Transistor
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A one-dimensional time-reversal-invariant topological superconductor hosts a Majorana Kramers pair at each end, where time-reversal symmetry acts as a supersymmetry that flips local fermion parity. We examine the transport anomaly of such a superconductor, floating and tunnel-coupled to normal leads at its two ends. We demonstrate the realization of a topologically-protected, channel-symmetric, two-channel Kondo effect without fine-tuning. Whereas the nonlocal teleportation vanishes, a lead present at one end telecontrols the universal transport through the other end.

Introduction.— One of the current priorities in physics is to create a topological superconductor (TSC) that hosts Majorana bound states [1, 2], who are their own antiparticles. Aside from their fundamental interest, Majoranas may offer a decoherence-free method for storing and manipulating quantum information [3]. For spinful electrons, there are two classes of TSCs, D and DIII [4–6]. In class D, time-reversal ($\mathcal{T}$) symmetry is broken; one spin species is gapped out whereas the other effectively forms a $p$-wave superconductor (SC) [7–16]. It follows that an unpaired Majorana appears at a boundary. 

In class DIII, which will be the focus hereafter, $\mathcal{T}$ symmetry is respected and crucial. SC order parameters can be viewed as mass terms that gap the Fermi surfaces. Analogous to the band inversions in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ topological insulators (TI) [17, 18], a 1D TSC can be achieved once the order parameter switches sign at odd pairs of bands [19, 20]. Remarkably on each end, there emerges one Kramers pair of Majoranas. The simplest case with two pairs of bands is illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Crucially, in SCs quasiparticles must come in particle-hole pairs, whereas in SCs quasiparticles must come in particle-hole pairs. This implies that for each $d$-level the only two accessible states with it being occupied or empty are Kramers partners. $d_{\alpha}(\eta_{\alpha})$ may be viewed as $d_{\alpha}^\dagger(\eta_{\alpha}^\dagger)$, reflecting a particle-hole redundancy. 

If the TSC is mesoscopic and grounded by a capacitor, as sketched in Fig. 1(b), it acquires a charging energy [39]

$$U_Q = \frac{(Q - Q_0)^2}{2C} \quad \text{with} \quad Q = (n_e + n_r + 2n_c)e .$$

Here $C$ is a capacitance, $Q_0$ is a gate charge, and both are controllable by the capacitor and the gate across it [40]. $n_c$ is the number of Cooper pairs in the condensate. As a result, states at different fillings of the supersymmetric levels are no longer degenerate. We focus on a general case in which $e^2/C$ is several times smaller than the TSC gap $\Delta$ and $Q_0/e$ is rounded to $2N+1$. Thus, the many-body state of TSC $(n_e, n_r, n_c)$ must be either $(1, 0, N)$ or $(0, 1, N)$, which are Kramers degenerate. Such a floating TSC is analogous to a quantum dot (QD) with a singly occupied level, yet the Kramers degeneracy of TSC has a topological origin. When the QD is weakly probed by the source and drain, a one-channel Kondo (1CK) effect occurs in such a single-electron transistor [41–44]. One might naturally wonder whether the floating TSC could mediate a similar 1CK effect. Remarkably enough, when the TSC is mesoscopic and grounded by a capacitor, as sketched in Fig. 1(b), it acquires a charging energy [39]

$$U_Q = \frac{(Q - Q_0)^2}{2C} \quad \text{with} \quad Q = (n_e + n_r + 2n_c)e .$$

Here $C$ is a capacitance, $Q_0$ is a gate charge, and both are controllable by the capacitor and the gate across it [40]. $n_c$ is the number of Cooper pairs in the condensate. As a result, states at different fillings of the supersymmetric levels are no longer degenerate. We focus on a general case in which $e^2/C$ is several times smaller than the TSC gap $\Delta$ and $Q_0/e$ is rounded to $2N+1$. Thus, the many-body state of TSC $(n_e, n_r, n_c)$ must be either $(1, 0, N)$ or $(0, 1, N)$, which are Kramers degenerate. Such a floating TSC is analogous to a quantum dot (QD) with a singly occupied level, yet the Kramers degeneracy of TSC has a topological origin. When the QD is weakly probed by the source and drain, a one-channel Kondo (1CK) effect occurs in such a single-electron transistor [41–44]. One might naturally wonder whether the floating TSC could mediate a similar 1CK effect. Remarkably enough,

As $\mathbb{T}^2 = -1$ for spinful electrons, upon successive $\mathbb{T}$ the two Majoranas must interchange as follows

$$\mathbb{T} : \quad \gamma_{\alpha} \rightarrow \eta_{\alpha} \rightarrow -\gamma_{\alpha} ,$$

where $\alpha$ labels the left ($\ell$) and right ($r$) ends of the TSC. As two Majoranas form a Dirac fermion, one can define $d_{\alpha} = (\gamma_{\alpha} + \eta_{\alpha})/2$ and $n_{\alpha} = d_{\alpha}^\dagger d_{\alpha}$ as the annihilation and number operators of the corresponding complex fermion levels. It follows from Eq. (1) that

$$\mathbb{T} : \quad d_{\alpha} \rightarrow id_{\alpha}^\dagger , \quad d_{\alpha}^\dagger \rightarrow -id_{\alpha} , \quad n_{\alpha} \rightarrow 1 - n_{\alpha} .$$

This implies that for each $d$-level the only two accessible states with it being occupied or empty are Kramers partners. $d_{\alpha}(\eta_{\alpha})$ may be viewed as $d_{\alpha}^\dagger(\eta_{\alpha}^\dagger)$, reflecting a particle-hole redundancy. 
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Here $C$ is a capacitance, $Q_0$ is a gate charge, and both are controllable by the capacitor and the gate across it [40]. $n_c$ is the number of Cooper pairs in the condensate. As a result, states at different fillings of the supersymmetric levels are no longer degenerate. We focus on a general case in which $e^2/C$ is several times smaller than the TSC gap $\Delta$ and $Q_0/e$ is rounded to $2N+1$. Thus, the many-body state of TSC $(n_e, n_r, n_c)$ must be either $(1, 0, N)$ or $(0, 1, N)$, which are Kramers degenerate. Such a floating TSC is analogous to a quantum dot (QD) with a singly occupied level, yet the Kramers degeneracy of TSC has a topological origin. When the QD is weakly probed by the source and drain, a one-channel Kondo (1CK) effect occurs in such a single-electron transistor [41–44]. One might naturally wonder whether the floating TSC could mediate a similar 1CK effect. Remarkably enough,
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Here $C$ is a capacitance, $Q_0$ is a gate charge, and both are controllable by the capacitor and the gate across it [40]. $n_c$ is the number of Cooper pairs in the condensate. As a result, states at different fillings of the supersymmetric levels are no longer degenerate. We focus on a general case in which $e^2/C$ is several times smaller than the TSC gap $\Delta$ and $Q_0/e$ is rounded to $2N+1$. Thus, the many-body state of TSC $(n_e, n_r, n_c)$ must be either $(1, 0, N)$ or $(0, 1, N)$, which are Kramers degenerate. Such a floating TSC is analogous to a quantum dot (QD) with a singly occupied level, yet the Kramers degeneracy of TSC has a topological origin. When the QD is weakly probed by the source and drain, a one-channel Kondo (1CK) effect occurs in such a single-electron transistor [41–44]. One might naturally wonder whether the floating TSC could mediate a similar 1CK effect. Remarkably enough,
such a Majorana transistor exhibits an unusual channel-symmetric two-channel Kondo (2CK) effect instead.

The Kondo physics provides a paradigm for scaling and universality. In 1CK effect [41–44], a twofold degeneracy such as a local spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) impurity is antiferromagnetically coupled to a reservoir of conduction electrons. The ground state, although complex, is a Fermi liquid in which the local spin is exactly screened and the low-lying excitations are quasiparticles. In the more tantalizing 2CK effect [45–47], two independent reservoirs compete to screen the spin and thus overscreen it, leaving an effective spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) impurity to be overscreened in the next stage. In such a “domino” effect, there is an emergent spin at each stage, and all electrons are strongly frustrated, leading to non-Fermi-liquid quantum criticality. The delicate 2CK effect is unlikely to occur, as any channel asymmetry relieves the frustration and drives the system toward the 1CK effect in the more strongly coupled channel. Realizing 2CK effect without fine-tuning the channel symmetry has long been an unrealized dream. Recently, three experiments have provided compelling evidences for spin, charge, and orbital 2CK effects in highly fine-tuned double-QDs [48], \( ν=1 \) quantum Hall liquid [49], and ferromagnetic \( L1₀\text{-}\text{MnAl} [50] \), respectively. In this Letter, we demonstrate the realization of a topologically protected, channel-symmetric, 2CK effect without fine-tuning the Majorana transistor. Surprisingly, whereas the nonlocal electron teleportation vanishes, a lead tuning in the Majorana transistor. The events in (b) one-to-one correspond to those in (a). The events in (b) and (c) are one-to-one switched under \( T \); a white (red) dot denotes an empty (filled) \( d \)-level; a horizontal blue line denotes the condensate; \( (n_ℓ,n_r,n_c) \) denotes a virtual state.

**Model & RG analysis.**— We start by considering a floating TSC coupled to two normal leads through tunneling, as sketched in Fig. 1(b). Analogous to the single-electron transistor made from a QD, such a “Majorana transistor” can be described by

\[
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{k\alpha} \varepsilon_{k\alpha} c_{k\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{k\alpha} + U_Q + \sum_{k\alpha} t_\alpha (c_{k\alpha}^{\dagger} d_\alpha - i c_{k\alpha} d_\alpha^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.}),
\]

where \( c_{k\alpha} \) is the annihilation operator of an electron of energy \( \varepsilon_{k\alpha} \) and spin \( \sigma \) (\( \uparrow, \downarrow \)) in the lead \( \alpha \) (\( \ell, r \)). The tunneling matrix elements \( t_\alpha \) are assumed to be real and spin-momentum independent. The two tunneling terms in Eq. (4) are related by \( T \) symmetry. The factor \( e^{i\phi} \) creates one Cooper pair in the condensate in a tunneling event mediated by Majoranas, given that \( \phi \) is the SC phase with \( [Q,\phi]=2\pi i \). The appearance of phase factors only in those events participated by lead electrons of \( \sigma=\downarrow \) simply reflects a gauge choice.

Since we focus on the many-body states with \( Q=2N+1 \) that minimize the charging energy \( U_Q \), the concerned odd-parity subspace must be spanned by the Kramers partners \( (1,0,N) \) and \( \ell (0,1,N) \), as motivated in **Introduction.** First order tunneling events are strongly suppressed, as they lead to states with higher charging energies. In contrast, the second order co-tunneling events are dominating, as they yield states within the subspace of interest. Hence, we perform the standard Schrieffer-Wolff transformation [51] to project Eq. (4) into the concerned subspace up to second order in \( t_\alpha \). This gives rise to a Kondo \( s\text{-}d \) exchange model:

\[
\mathcal{H}_{2\text{CK}} = \sum_{k\alpha} \varepsilon_{k\alpha} c_{k\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{k\alpha} + \sum_{kk'\alpha} \frac{J_{s\sigma}}{2} c_{k\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{k'\alpha}^{\dagger} S^z + \sum_{kk'\sigma} \left[ J_{s\sigma} S^z + \frac{J_{s\sigma}}{2} S^+ S^- \right]
\]

The spin operators \( S \) of the floating TSC are defined as

\[
S^z = \frac{1}{2} (d_\ell^\dagger d_\ell - d_r^\dagger d_r), \quad S^+ = d_\ell^\dagger d_r, \quad S^- = d_r^\dagger d_\ell
\]

and likewise the pseudospin operators \( s_\ell \) of the conduction electrons in the \( \uparrow \)-channel. It follows from Eq. (2) that \( S \) are odd under \( T \) operation, whereas \( \mathcal{H}_{2\text{CK}} \) must be \( T \) invariant by nature. This implies that \( s_\ell = -T s_r \). Moreover, the exchange couplings are derived to be

\[
J_{s\uparrow}(t_\ell^2 + t_r^2)/m, \quad J_{s\downarrow}(2t_\ell t_r)/m, \quad J_3(2t_\ell^2 - t_r^2)/m, \quad \text{with} \quad m^{-1} = (U_{2N+2} - U_{2N+1})^{-1} + (U_{2N} - U_{2N+1})^{-1} > 0.
\]

Evidently, Eq. (5) describes a 2CK model [45–47], in which the Kramers doublet of the floating TSC acts as a spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) impurity \( S \), whereas the two spin species provide two independent reservoirs with left-right pseudospin \( s_\ell \).

The left and right leads can flip \( n_\ell \) and \( n_r \), respectively, and hence flip \( S \). The channel symmetry in \( J_{s\pm} \) is guaranteed by \( T \) symmetry. Due to the left-right anisotropy, a new exchange coupling \( J_3 \sim (t_\ell^2 - t_r^2) \) appears.

The 2CK physics of the Majorana transistor is further illustrated in Fig 2, compared with the 1CK physics of a singly-occupied QD [41–44]. In the first (last) two panels of Fig. 2(a), the spin-\( \downarrow \) (\( \uparrow \)) state of the QD can be flipped in an elastic or inelastic co-tunneling event. In the first (last) two panels of Fig. 2(b), as one-to-one counterparts to those of Fig 2(a), the spin-\( \downarrow \) (\( \uparrow \)) state of the floating
TSC can be similarly flipped in a co-tunneling event via the virtual state (0,0) or (1,1). In contrast to the QD case, these events only involve the electrons in the spin-↑ channel. As one-to-one T-counterparts to those in Fig. 2(b), Fig. 2(c) depicts the co-tunneling events in the spin-↓ channel, given that T flips spin, switches $u_α$, but preserves $Q$. Notably, the condensate must be involved here reflecting the superconducting nature, and T symmetry dictates the channel symmetry.

Now we use the perturbative renormalization group (RG) to analyze the flows of exchange couplings under the influence of $J_3$. Computing the standard one- and two-loop Feynman diagrams [1–3] sketched in Fig. 3(a)-(d), we derive the following flow equations:

$$
\frac{dg_δ}{dt} = -g_δ g_δ, \quad \frac{dg_2}{dt} = g_2^2 - g_δ^2, \quad \frac{dg_±}{dt} = g_± g_± - \frac{1}{2} \left( g_δ^2 + g_±^2 + g_±^2 \right) g_±,
$$

where $g_δ, g_±$ are dimensionless coupling constants, and $ν$ is the density-of-states of lead electrons. When $g_δ = 0$, Eq. (7) are exactly the same as those for the standard 1CK problem [52] and can also be derived from the standard 2CK problem by enforcing a channel symmetry [45–47]. For the physically relevant regime $0 < |g_±| < g_δ < 1$, as shown in Fig. 3(e), $g_±$ flows to zero with vanishing right-anisotropy, whereas ($g_±/g_δ$) to a stable intermediate-coupling fixed point (1,1) with vanishing exchange anisotropy. Consequently, the ground state of the Majorana transistor is a channel-symmetric, exchange-isotropic 2CK state. Integrating out Eq. (7) we further obtain $T_{2CK} \approx m \rho e^{-1/\rho}$.

**Universal transport.**—The RG analysis becomes invalid upon approaching $T_{2CK}$, however, the conformal field theory [47] has provided the scattering matrix $T$, which can be used to understand the universal transport mediated by the 2CK ground state. The current in the α-lead in Fig. 1(b) is $I_α = (ie/h)\left[N_α(t), H_\alpha\right]$, which has equal contributions from the two channels because of T symmetry. Following the standard derivation [58],

$$
I_α = \frac{2ie}{h} \int d\omega \left[ \Gamma_α f_α(G_{αα}^L - G_{αα}^R) - \Gamma_α G_{αα}^{<} \right],
$$

where $f_α$ is the Fermi function, $\Gamma_α = 2\pi T^2_α$, and $G$ is the full Green’s function. Following the Dyson equation, $G_{αα}^L - G_{αα}^R = G_{αα}^T (\Sigma_{αα}^L - \Sigma_{αα}^R) G_{αα}^T$. By the Keldysh equation, $G_{αα}^T = G_{αα}^L G_{αα}^{<} G_{αα}^T$. In light of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, $\Sigma_{αα}^L = f_α(\Sigma_{αα}^L - \Sigma_{αα}^{<})$. Therefore, we conclude $I_α = 0$, no current across the TSC.

The vanishing of electron teleportation can be interpreted as follows. For each channel, electrons in the two leads have opposite left-right pseudospins that exchange-couple to opposite spins of the floating TSC. As the 2CK state and hence its $T$ matrix are SU(2) invariant [47], any current in the leads would break the SU(2) invariance and must be forbidden. The current also vanishes in the Coulomb blockade [49] regime ($T_{2CK} < T \lesssim m$) as the $T$ matrix is diagonal in the pseudospin basis.

To reveal that the 2CK state can mediate nontrivial transport, we consider a three-terminal Majorana transistor sketched in Fig. 4(a). With a rotation in the space of the two left leads, $c_{εα} = (t_ε c_{εα} \pm t_ε c_{ε\bar{α}})/t_ε$ with $t_ε = (t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{1/2}$. Eq. (4) can still be described by Eq. (4) with $c_{εα} \rightarrow c_{ε\bar{α}}$ and $c_{ε\bar{α}}$ decoupled. We consider the current between the two left leads in the presence of the right lead. By letting $α = \ell_1, \ell_2$ in Eq. (8) and considering $I_1 = I_{\ell_1} = -I_{\ell_2}$, we obtain the current [58]

$$
I_2 = \frac{4e}{h} \int d\omega \frac{\Gamma_{\ell_1} \Gamma_{\ell_2}}{\Gamma_{\ell_1} + \Gamma_{\ell_2}} (f_{\ell_1} - f_{\ell_2}) \text{Im}[G_{\ell\ell}],
$$

where the conductance in such a limit reads

$$
G_{\ell}(V,T) = G_0 \left[ 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\pi T}{T_{2CK}}} F_{2CK} \left( \frac{eV}{\pi T} \right) \right],
$$

where $F_{2CK}(0) = 1$ and $G_0 = 4T^2_1 T^2_2 / t_1^2 \cdot e^2/h$. Strikingly, $|G_{\ell}(V,T) - G(V,T)|G_0^{-1} \sqrt{T_{2CK}/\pi T}$ is a universal scaling function: $F_{2CK}(eV/\pi T) = 1$ [60], as plotted in Fig. 4.

To shed more light on how the right lead teleports in the lead end, we contrast Fig. 4(a) with a double-lead device [61–63], where Oreg and Goldhaber-Gordon have ingeniously realized a 2CK effect. In their case, the 2CK transport occurs only in one spin-degenerate channel, under a nonlocal influence.
of the other channel (i.e. the larger QD); when the larger QD is decoupled, a 1CK effect returns. In our case, the 2CK transport occurs in both channels but only in the left leads (i.e. pseudospin polarized), under the nonlocal influence of the right lead at $T < T_{2CK}$; when the right lead is decoupled, there is no Kondo effects: only cotunnelings on top of Coulomb blockade [40, 59] at $T \lesssim m$. In both cases, there is one decoupled electron channel, and this highlights that Fig. 1(b) utilizes the minimum number of leads to realize the 2CK effect.

**Discussions.**— It is instructive to consider the consequences of symmetry breaking in our Majorana transistor. (i) If T symmetry is only broken in a ferromagnetic lead, the two channels become asymmetric, and the system flows to the 1CK fixed point in the more strongly coupled channel. (ii) If spin-orbit couplings are substantial in a lead even if T symmetry is unbroken, the two channels are not independent, and the system also flows to the 1CK fixed point. (iii) If T symmetry is broken in the TSC, there are two scenarios. The SC, now in class D, may still be topological with an unpaired Majorana left at each end [20]. Whereas a 2CK effect is impossible, a charge 1CK effect could occur when the charge degeneracy point (i.e. $Q_0/e$ is a half integer) is fine-tuned [39], as considered by Fu. If the SC is trivial, each Majorana Kramers pair splits into a pair of Andreev states with opposite energies. With left-right anisotropy, this produces a Zeeman splitting between the $(0, 1, N)$ and $(1, 0, N)$ states, driving a Fermi-liquid crossover [47, 64].

There exist two elegant multi-channel Kondo models without T symmetry. (i) Fiete et al. proposed a series of channel-symmetric models by coupling a level-k Read-Rezayi fractional quantum Hall state at $\nu = 2 + k/(k + 2)$ to a QD at a fine-tuned charge degeneracy [65]. Notably, a Majorana is central to the $\nu = 5/2$ state. (2) Béri et al. constructed a series of exotic models by coupling $M \geq 3$ Majoranas of multiple class-D TSCs to independent leads [66]. The $M = 4$ case realizes a 2CK model [67, 68] without the protection of T symmetry. By contrast, the experimental setup of our T-invariant model is extremely neat, and neither the channel symmetry nor the charging energy requires fine-tuning to realize the 2CK state.

In realizing the 2CK effect, we have chosen $Q_0/e$ to be rounded to $2N+1$ in Eq. (3). The topological degeneracy is between the odd-parity states $(0, 1, N)$ and $(1, 0, N)$. There is a dual problem for the degeneracy between the even-parity states $(0, 0, N+1)$ and $(1, 1, N)$, when $Q_0/e$ is rounded to $2N+2$ instead. The studied odd-parity problem can be mapped into the even-parity one by redefining $d_\alpha=(\gamma_\alpha+\alpha\eta_\alpha)/2$ with $\alpha=\ell/r=\pm$. Strikingly, the odd-parity degeneracy and 2CK state are robust against left-right Majorana hybridization, as long as the splitting is smaller than $m$. In sharp contrast, the hybridization lifts the even-parity degeneracy and drives a Fermi-liquid crossover, similar to the deviation of a charge 2CK state from the charge degeneracy point [69, 70].

It is intriguing to consider the case in which the TSC is grounded ($C = \infty$). Without the charging energy, the two tunnelings in a co-tunneling event is no longer coherent, and Andreev reflections (AR) violating charge conservation is energetically favored. Consider the Landauer formula for SCs [71] with the S matrix

$$S(E) = 1 - 2\pi i W^\dagger (E + i\pi W W^\dagger - H_M)^{-1} W,$$

where $W$ is a matrix describing the couplings of the Majoranas to the leads, as implied by Eq. (4), and $H_M = 0$ for unhybridized Majoranas. At zero bias ($E \rightarrow 0$), this yields $G_\alpha = 4e^2/h$ reflecting resonant local AR and vanishing cross AR at each channel [72], consistent with a previous prediction [20]. If the left and right Majoranas were hybridized due to a finite size effect, the crossed (local) AR would be enhanced (suppressed) [73].

Finally, the Majorana transistor offers a unique platform for exploring strongly correlated physics, spotlighting the Majorana-Majorana interactions. The striking 2CK effect and their reductions in various limits can also provide fingerprints of Majorana Kramers pairs in their experimental detections. In the experiment coupling the Josephson junction and the 2D TI [26–30], the phase difference $\delta \varphi$ can be controlled by a magnetic flux. If the junction is weakly probed at the two edges by the source and drain, the studied 2CK effect should be realized at $\delta \varphi = \pi$, and the discussed Zeeman-splitting scenario should apply to $\delta \varphi \neq \pi$. In the experiment coupling the Rashba nanowire and the $s_{\pm}$-wave SC [20], the topological phase transition can be tuned by a gate voltage. For a TSC, the Majorana Kramers pairs should mediate the studied 2CK effect. For a trivial SC, the Coulomb blockade with an even-odd effect should occur due to the charging energy and the quasiparticle gap [40, 74].
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